

"If you have a bottom clause, you have almost solved the problem."

A Bottom Set Strategy for Tractable Feature Construction

Filip Železný

ČVUT **Prague**, School of Electrical Engineering, Dept. of Cybernetics
The Gerstner Laboratory for Intelligent Decision Making and Control

Introduction

:: We are concerned with constructing **features**, ie. expressions such as

`hasCar(C), hasLoad(C,L), isBig(L)`

:: The **language of features** $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is a set where elements comply to constraints:

- **Size**. Each $f \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ has at most n atoms.
- **Typing & Moding**. Specify predicates, arguments types and i/o modes.

`hasCar(-car), hasLoad(+car,-load),
isBig(+load), isSmall(+load)`

- **ETA Constraint**. Each var has both an input and an output occurrence.
(ETA = 'Extended Transformation Approach' [Lavrač & Flach, 2001])

The Bottom Set Theorem

:: 2 Problems of size n

- **Existence**: Find **an** element of $\mathcal{F}(n)$.
- **Enumeration**: Find **all** elements of $\mathcal{F}(n)$ (up to var renaming).

:: A **bottom set** is an expression $\perp(n)$ complying to the typing constraint such that $f \subseteq \perp(n)$ (up to var renaming) whenever $f \in \mathcal{F}(n)$.

:: **Theorem**. Given a $\perp(n)$ such that $|\perp(n)| \leq poly(n)$, one can solve efficiently the existence problem, ie. find in time $poly(n)$ a feature $f \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ if it exists, or answer NO.

:: **Proof**. Naive approach: must check ETA constraint for $O(|\perp(n)|^n)$ subsets :-(.
Trick: reduce polynomially onto **HORN SAT**.

The Essence of the Proof in an Example

:: Bottom set

$$\perp(3) = \text{hasCar}(C), \text{hasLoad}(C, L), \text{isBig}(L), \text{isSmall}(L)$$

propositional vars: P_1 P_2 P_3 P_4

:: HORNSAT assignment: P_i **false** iff i -th atom **included** in feature

variable	production	consumption
C	$P_2 \leftarrow P_1$	$P_1 \leftarrow P_2$
L	$P_3 \leftarrow P_2$ $P_4 \leftarrow P_2$	$P_2 \leftarrow P_3 \wedge P_4$
non-emptiness	$\leftarrow P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge P_3 \wedge P_4$	

:: $P_1 = P_2 = P_3 = \text{false}$ is a **maximal** (fewest false assignments) **solution** (found efficiently [Dowling & Gallier, 1984]), thus $f = \text{hasCar}(C), \text{hasLoad}(C, L), \text{isBig}(L)$ is a **minimal feature**. Because $|f| \leq 3$, we output f (otherwise would say NO).

Tractable Cases: Example

:: A connected **depth-limited** language $\mathcal{F}_D(n) \subset \mathcal{F}(n)$

$$\text{branching factor} \leq n \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{hasCar}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \text{hasRoof}(\mathbf{C}) \\ \rightarrow \text{hasLoad}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L1}) \rightarrow \text{isBig}(\mathbf{L1}) \\ \rightarrow \text{isSmall}(\mathbf{L2}) \\ \rightarrow \text{hasLoad}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L2}) \rightarrow \text{isBig}(\mathbf{L2}) \\ \rightarrow \text{isSmall}(\mathbf{L2}) \end{array} \right. \leftarrow \text{depth} \leq D \rightarrow$$

:: If literals with multiple inputs, then branching factor $O(n^A)$ ($A \sim \text{max arity}$).

:: Therefore $|\perp(n)| \leq O(n^{A \times D}) = \text{poly}(n)$.

:: Therefore tractable.

Intractable Cases: Example (W-I-P)

:: A “no variable reuse” language $\mathcal{F}_R(n) \subset \mathcal{F}(n)$. Represent typing/moding by a matrix \mathbf{M} . Find a feature $f \in \mathcal{F}_R(n)$ and represent it by a vector \vec{f} . For example

	hasCar	hasLoad	hasRoof	isBig	isSmall	
$\mathbf{M} =$	-1	+1	+1	0	0	car
	0	-1	0	+1	+1	load

$f = \text{hasCar}(C1), \text{hasLoad}(C1,L), \text{isBig}(L), \text{hasCar}(C2), \text{hasRoof}(C2)$

$$\vec{f} = [2, 1, 1, 1, 0]^{-1}$$

hasCar hasLoad hasRoof isBig isSmall

\vec{f} solves (due to no-var-reuse assumption) the matrix equation

$$\mathbf{M} \times \vec{f} = \vec{0},$$

ie. solves an instance of the **NP-complete integer programming** problem. Remains to show reduction from an **arbitrary** integer programming instance.

"If you have a bottom clause, you have almost solved the problem."

*** THE END ***